

1 WO

2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

7 Anthony Sanchez,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 CO II Rivas, et al.,

11 Defendants.)

)  
)  
)  
)  
) CIV 04-794 PHX ROS (VAM)

)  
)  
) O R D E R

12 This lawsuit was filed on April 22, 2004. All defendants  
13 except Rivas and all counts except Count I were dismissed. (Doc.  
14 5). Plaintiff then filed an amended complaint adding three  
15 additional defendants. (Doc. 15). Motions to Dismiss were filed  
16 and joined in. (Docs. 39, 46). The Court then granted the Motion  
17 to Dismiss as to most of plaintiff's claims and all defendants  
18 except defendant Rivas. (Doc. 51). Defendant Rivas filed an  
19 Answer. (Doc. 52). The Court entered a Scheduling and Discovery  
20 Order. (Doc. 56). The discovery deadline was July 24, 2006.

21 On June 2, 2006, approximately one month before the discovery  
22 deadline was to run, plaintiff filed a motion for an order  
23 allowing depositions on 17 witnesses. Depositions of prison  
24 personnel are typically not allowed because of the disruption to  
25 prison operations. In addition, plaintiff would have to pay  
26 witness fees and costs and the cost of a court reporter for each  
27 deposition. In the past, plaintiff has indicated to the Court he  
28 has absolutely no money. The relevance as to most of the  
witnesses is marginal at best. Plaintiff may call at trial, if  
there is a trial, such witnesses as he can afford at that time.

1 The Motion for Oral Depositions and the renewed Motion for Oral  
2 Depositions will be denied. (Docs. 59, 70).

3 On June 16, 2006, plaintiff filed a motion asking the Court  
4 to appoint an expert witness (crime scene investigator) to  
5 investigate the crime scene and testify that he was wronged. This  
6 motion will also be denied. The Court typically does not appoint  
7 experts and pay experts for plaintiffs in civil rights cases. It  
8 is plaintiff's responsibility to retain and pay his own experts.

9 On July 26, 2006, plaintiff filed a Motion to Stay  
10 Proceedings. (Doc. 66). It appears that plaintiff is asking for  
11 a stay of the proceedings so that his deposition will not go  
12 forward. He indicates he has filed a motion for appointment of  
13 counsel to represent him in the deposition. Previous motions for  
14 appointment of counsel were denied. Plaintiff seems to indicate  
15 that answering the questions in the deposition will incriminate  
16 him in a criminal case. The defendant has not responded to the  
17 Motion to Stay Proceedings. The Court will require a response.

18 On September 27, 2006, plaintiff filed a Motion for Written  
19 Depositions and for the Court to appoint and pay a court reporter  
20 so that he may take his depositions. Again, plaintiff lists  
21 numerous written questions he wishes to ask of the defendant and  
22 other witnesses within the prison system. The Court does not pay  
23 for court reporters for plaintiffs in their lawsuits. Plaintiff  
24 has indicated he has no money in the past. The Court has reviewed  
25 the questions which plaintiff wishes to ask and they are  
26 objectionable. In addition, the discovery deadline has run and  
27 defendant Rivas has responded to discovery already.

28 Defendant has asked for an extension of time on the

